



Democrats Wish Speedy Recovery to "Fascist Dictator Adolf Hitler"

This transcript may not be 100% accurate due to audio quality or other factors.

Glenn Greenwald (GG): Now, one of the things that I found extremely interesting and important in all of this was the reaction of Democrats and liberals and the left, prominent people on the left, who basically unanimously united to proclaim not only that political violence is wrong, but that they were praying for the president and for his speedy recovery, meaning Donald Trump's speedy recovery. Joe Biden said that. Kamala Harris said that. Chuck Schumer said that. Here is Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, one of the leaders of the Trump is Hitler faction of the Democratic Party, who, on the day of the attempted assassination on Saturday, said the following, quote: "There is no place for political violence, including the horrific incident we just witnessed in Pennsylvania. It is absolutely unacceptable and must be announced in the strongest terms. My heart goes out to all the victims and I wish the former president a speedy recovery". I wish the former president a speedy recovery, being Donald Trump. Now, ordinarily, you wouldn't even take a second look at that. That's what a psychologically healthy person would say and how they would react to a situation of this kind. But the reason it strikes me is so bizarre and I mean this sincerely, is that the entire left liberal narrative about Donald Trump, since he descended down that escalator in 2015 to announce his candidacy, but especially over the last four years, especially over the last four years, intensifying even more over the last year as the election approaches, as Democrats get more desperate because of the polls showing they're likely to lose to Trump, the narrative about Donald Trump is that he's not just any ordinary political adversary of the kind that say Mitt Romney or John McCain or George W Bush were – and you should go back and look at what Democrats are saying about George W Bush and Mitt Romney and John McCain, they weren't saying, Oh, that's an ordinary adversary at the time – but the idea that Democrats push now is that actually Donald Trump is not just an ordinary adversary. He is a fascist. He intends to implement fascist dictatorship in the United States, that if Trump wins, this will be the last election we ever enjoy as Americans. That Trump intends to create concentration camps where he will put his domestic political enemies and political critics. AOC herself has warned that she believes she may get into one of those camps. Rachel Maddow has said she

believes she will go to one of those camps. Joe Scarborough has implied or alluded to the same thing. Joy Behar on The View, when she had Rachel Maddow on, said she thinks she may go to that camp, or that Trump may just take The View off the air entirely. They're talking about Trump, often explicitly like a figure that is the equivalent of Adolf Hitler. So if you really believe that, if you really believe that Trump is an existential threat to American democracy, a fascist dictator and a Hitler type figure, why would you say that you wished him a speedy recovery? It's like saying, Oh, in 1939, I just learned that there was an assassination attempt against Adolf Hitler. Which there was by an anarchist who hated Hitler, he was a leftist more so than an anarchist, and he almost succeeded in murdering Adolf Hitler. He planted a bomb, and Hitler was able to leave just before the bomb detonated. And history looks back on him and views him as a hero. And imagine someone in 1940 saying, Oh, Hitler just got wounded in a attack. I'm praying for the Führer's speedy recovery. I'm praying for the Nazi leaders' family and for his health and my solidarity goes out to him and to his Nazi followers. It's not something anybody who was against Hitler would ever say, for obvious reasons, nor would they say it after World War Two. And yet that has been the narrative of the Democratic Party. And I say that not for some kind of cheap gotcha, but because the maximalists fear mongering that they have been disseminating about what would happen if Donald Trump got elected again. They've never really explained why he didn't build domestic concentration camps and kill and murder his critics in the first four years when he was president, and claim that he will do so now. But if you really believe that, you either stay silent when someone tries to murder him or you cheer it, you follow your views to their logical conclusion.

The day after AOC said she's praying or whatever for his speedy recovery, one day after, she was responding to growing reports, as we're about to show you that many Democrats in Washington are now saying that they're resigned to a Donald Trump victory, and that not only are they resigned to it, but they can actually live with it because they're willing to say anonymously, but not in public, that Democratic leaders, of course don't believe that Trump is an existential threat to American democracy, some kind of a fascist dictator, this is just the propaganda that's fed to idiot cable hosts and to the horde of Democrats, the very gullible dupes that they want to go vote for them, and they're admitting we don't really actually think that. And AOC was responding to these reports and this is what she said, quote: "If you're a, quote, 'senior Democrat' that feels this way, you should absolutely retire and make space for true leadership that refuses to resign themselves to fascism". So it's not even one day later, 24 hours later, that she's accusing Donald Trump and his movement of trying to impose fascism on the United States. So if you actually believe that, why a day earlier were you saying I'm praying for, hoping for his speedy recovery, that really makes no sense. If you really believe that that's true about Donald Trump and what you're saying he is planning on doing, that he doesn't just have bad policies or bad ideologies that he's actually going to bring fascist dictatorship and Hitlerian approach to the United States, that he will end American democracy, of course, you're not going to say, Oh, my heart goes out to the former president. It's not an issue of hypocrisy. What it does is it reveals the fact that these people do not believe what they're saying. Now, just to kind of underscore the point, I want to just make clear how pervasive this narrative has been that Trump isn't just another adversary, but he's

actually Adolf Hitler. Here is Jake Tapper, who gave a little sermon about the importance of turning down the temperature, making sure that our rhetoric is restrained and sober and peaceful. Here's the kind of thing that he said on the day of the assassination. You can see the graphic there: "We are living in an age of political violence". And here's what, Jake Tapper, who's starting to envision himself as like an Edward Murrow figure, you can see as the posture, like he was addressing the nation as some kind of Cronkite figure who everyone trusts, and he was here to urge us to tone down the political rhetoric. Listen to what he said.

Jake Tapper (JT): Last year, the U.S. Department of Homeland Security warned of a high threat of violence from radicalised individuals, lone offenders or small group attacks that occur with little warning and said that the 2024 election cycle will be, quote, "a key event for possible violence on officials, voters and elections related personnel and infrastructure, including polling places, ballot drop box locations, voter registration sites, campaign events, political party offices, and vote counting sites", unquote. There is something troubling the American soul right now. Too many Americans see those with whom they disagree as the enemy to be shunned, to be banned, to be ostracised, to be threatened with violence, or even to have that violence carried out.

GG: Okay, so the problem that we face as a nation, says Jake Tapper, is that there are too many people who see their political adversaries as their enemy, as someone to be denounced and condemned and regarded as evil. Those are such beautiful words, I feel so inspired. I feel more peaceful hearing Jake Tapper say that. The problem is that Jake Tapper has been calling Trump, or comparing Trump, or equating Trump to Adolf Hitler and to the Nazi movement for many years. This is what surfaced when Jake Tapper was chosen to moderate the first presidential debate. And here is Jack Posobiec who created a kind of compilation of just some of the times, and that very same Jake Tapper who was sermonising the public about the evils and dangers of demonising our political enemies, all the times that this neutral, objective, nonpartisan journalist went on to CNN airwaves – thankfully, nobody was listening because it's CNN, but still – and he repeatedly and in many different context suggested that Trump was the equivalent of Adolf Hitler.

JT: The dehumanising rhetoric of Adolf Hitler is once again alive and well on a national political stage. This time, of course, in the United States. Trump, a couple of times over the weekend, referred to immigrants from South America, Africa and Asia – he did not mention Europe – South America, Africa and Asia as, quote, "poisoning the blood of our country", which it's not hyperbole that does very directly echo Adolf Hitler's language before World War Two. If you open up a copy of Hitler's *Mein Kampf*, you would find the Nazi leader describing the mixing of non Germans with Germans as poisoning. There's really no other way to say it. Donald Trump's language mirrors this directly.

GG: All right. So if you spent years going on the air and suggesting that the former president and the current frontrunner for the presidency, representing a party that is the opposite of your own is the equivalent of Adolf Hitler, don't come with a sermon after that person that you've been claiming as they love Hitler ends up being the target of assassination, telling people that their fault, the great evil of others in the United States is that they use reckless rhetoric and

treat their political opponents not as adversaries to be argued with or debated with or defeated at the ballot box with, but as people who are should be demonised as people who are pure evil. Just last week the liberal outlet The New Republic published a cover story. And on that cover there you see Donald Trump compared in every single conceivable way to Adolf Hitler, including, as you may not be able to see, but right there is the Hitler moustache. And then this here is the font that was used by the Nazi Party in much of their propaganda. And it's called *American Fascism, what it would look like*. And they convened a panel of some of the world's most renowned and respected scholars on fascism, such as Brian Stelter and Jason Stanley and a bunch of other Democratic operatives. And obviously the whole point of this is to suggest that Donald Trump is the equivalent of Adolf Hitler. And this has been the narrative not only of Democrats, but also of the corporate media for a long time. We have a short compilation of just some of the times where this has been done in the very recent past.

CNN Moderator: And just one more quote so people know exactly what Carl and Dan are talking about here. General Milley on the big lie and what Trump was saying about the election, the lies. He says: "This is a Reichstag moment, Milley told aides. "The gospel of the Führer". The Reichstag moment refers to Adolf Hitler using the burning of the German parliament, basically to seize all power in Germany, suspend habeas corpus, suspend civil rights, a coup more or less of sorts.

Timothy Snyder: The point of the book is that these things really happened over and over again, and that intelligent people, no less intelligent than us, experienced them and left a record for us to learn from. So what I'm trying to do in the book is to help us to learn from that record. So we don't have events like Germany in 1933 or Czechoslovakia in 1948. Just saying. "Hitler's not like Trump" or "Trump is not like Hitler" isn't going to save us. Learning from the past though, could.

GG: So that's professor Timothy Snyder, who's been going around saying this forever.

Claire McCaskill: People will try to draw similarities between Mussolini and Hitler and the use of the terminology like vermin and the drive that those men had towards autocracy and dictatorship. The difference, though, I think, makes Donald Trump even more dangerous, and that is he has no philosophy he believes in. He is not trying to expand.

Moderator: What, in your view, would happen if he were to be re-elected?

Hillary Clinton: Oh, I can't even think that because I think it would be the end of our country as we know it. You know, when I was secretary of state, I used to talk about one and done. And what I meant by that is that people would get legitimately elected, and then they would try to do away with elections and do away with opposition and do away with a free press. And you could see it in countries where – well, Hitler was duly elected. Right? And so all of a sudden, somebody with those tendencies, dictatorial authoritarian tendencies would be like, Oh, okay, we're going to shut this down, we're going to throw these people in jail. And they didn't usually telegraph that. Trump is telling us what he intends to do. Take him at his word. The man means to throw people in jail who disagree with him, shut down

legitimate press outlets, and do what he can to literally undermine the rule of law and our country's value.

GG: Okay, so I could literally show you many, many more minutes of that. In fact, this is about a third of the video compilation that we had. Part of the irony here is what Hillary Clinton was warning that Trump would do, imprison his political opponents, shut down dissent, obviously, was what the Democratic Party has done. They've done everything they could to imprison Donald Trump. They even have explicitly said that what's most urgent about the goal of imprisoning Trump is that it be done before the votes start for the 2024 election, because they look at polling data and see that it's one of their only chances to actually soften Trump's support is if they are able to convict him and imprison him before the election. They have implemented a systemic regime of censorship, where they're able to coerce big tech companies to remove dissent online and all other kinds of weaponization of the legal system against their political opponents. But if you go around saying that Trump is Hitler, that he's going to end American democracy, then the minute you start saying, Oh, my heart goes out to Donald Trump, this should never have happened, it obviously means that you don't believe anything that you've said. Now, I guess we can have one more clip here. This is from Liz Cheney, who, by the way, whose father did more than any individual in the last 50 years to actually reduce any limitations on the office of the presidency, to turn it into a monarchy through his theory of going back all the way to the Iran-Contra scandal in the 1980s, that presidents are unbound by any limits of any kind in exercising their duties, including laws enacted by the Congress that they're permitted to violate. The reason I began writing about politics is because of these radical executive theories in power, executive power theories being implemented by Liz Cheney's dad as she cheered. She's such a strange figure to be warning of the authoritarianism of the presidency that Trump intends to implement, given how she comes from a family whose entire career has been about doing nothing but. But of course, because of these warnings of Donald Trump, she's considered a hero in liberal circles, some kind of wise states person by corporate media. Even though she was booted out of office by a record 37 points by her own voters in her own primary. She went on a CBS morning show with John Dickerson in December of 2023. And here's the warning she issued about Trump.

John Dickerson: You say Donald Trump, if he is re-elected, it will be the end of the Republic. What do you mean?

Liz Cheney: He's told us what he will do. It's very easy to see the steps that he will take. People who say, well, if he's elected, it's not that dangerous because we have all of these checks and balances, don't fully understand the extent to which the Republicans in Congress today have been co-opted. One of the things that we see happening today is sort of a sleepwalking into a dictatorship in the United States.

GG: Now, I just want to say one thing that I am not a person who has ever liked or believed in this attempt to suggest that when somebody goes and carries out violence against a political figure or anyone else, that we should blame whoever we say expressed ideas that might have inspired the attacker, the violent shooter, to go and do it. Because, and I've

devoted many shows to this, anytime you express a political view, it's possible that someone who hears you might go and carry out violence. If you say that you think abortion is murder, someone might hear you and go and kill an abortion doctor. If you say you think the CIA is evil and abuses its power against American citizens or the NSA spying on us illegally, someone might go attack the CIA and the NSA. Any political view that you've ever expressed might end up actually inspiring people to go and carry out violence. So I don't think that the fact that Democrats have been running around accusing Trump of being Hitler means they're responsible for the assassination attempt. I don't like that theory when it's used against the right, as it always is, and I don't like it when it's used to support the right. But the reality is that this is the theory that Democrats and liberals have been perpetrating for a long time. They constantly blame their political enemies, conservatives, for being responsible for, and even having blood on their hands whenever some maniac goes and kills a bunch of people. I actually wrote about exactly this in 2022 and the title of that article was: *The Demented – and Selective – Game of Instantly Blaming Political Opponents For Mass Shootings*. And this was in the context of a racist white supremacist had gone and purposely attacked a grocery store in Buffalo, that was situated in an overwhelmingly African-American community, and he murdered ten people. He left a manifesto about the white replacement theory and the entire media immediately said that Tucker Carlson was to blame for that murder, that he has that blood on his hands. In fact, so much so that anytime Twitter discussed it, as you see there, the name Tucker Carlson was trending with that Buffalo attack. There was really an overwhelming effort to blame Tucker Carlson for that attack, claiming that his rhetoric against non-white people, which, by the way, doesn't exist, Tucker Carlson has never railed against non-white Americans. He believes that all Americans, regardless of race, have the same rights and believes that uncontrolled immigration is a threat to Americans of all races, especially the working class of all races. So it was a false attempt to characterise his views. But even if it were the case that he was saying those things, that still wouldn't make him morally or ethically or legally responsible for people who hear him and go and carry out violence in his name, since he himself is not advocating violence.

Obviously, I said the same thing about Donald Trump's speech on January 6th, when actually, not only did he not encourage people to use violence, he explicitly said: March down to the Capitol and peacefully protest. One of the most bizarre cases was when the Arizona congresswoman Gabrielle Giffords was shot and almost killed in the head by a person who turned out not even to be politically motivated, but basically who was just an insane person, the corporate media overwhelmingly said that Sarah Palin was to blame by virtue of the fact that she had put out an ad identifying vulnerable districts where Democratic incumbents were that she believed should be turned red in the midterm election. And one of those districts where she put this crosshairs image to say these are the places we want to target – here, you see, it's at the top: "We have diagnosed the problem... Help us prescribe the solution", and then at the bottom right here it says: "Click here for a list of the candidates". And here in Arizona was Gabby Giffords district, because it was a swing district, and the entire media decided that she was somehow encouraging people to go and carry out murder in these districts. And therefore, when Gabrielle Giffords was shot, Sarah Palin was to blame. Here

you see, from ABC News: *Sarah Palin's quote, 'Crosshairs' Ad Dominates Gabrielle Giffords Debate*. And the article says, quote, "In the stunned aftermath of the Tucson massacre, Sarah Palin has found herself in the crosshairs of the ensuing political debate with opponents suggesting she may have fuelled the gunman's rage and her supporters saying it is, quote, 'grotesque' to blame her and to politicise the tragedy. Crosshairs is a political phrase that emerged from Palin's political action committee, SarahPAC, that targeted congressional districts for the Tea Party campaign in the last election, including the district of Congresswoman Gabrielle Giffords. Although Palin later denied she meant the graphic over the district's look like a gun site, it is part of the hunting lexicon that critics say she prefers". So obviously, if Tucker Carlson is responsible for the Buffalo massacre of black people with his rhetoric and Sarah Palin was guilty of the attack on Gabrielle Giffords, and Donald Trump was responsible for the violence on January 6th, then running around calling Donald Trump a Nazi and insisting trying to convince people that he will end American democracy and put critics in camps and end free press and end the American democracy, obviously has the potential by the same theory, which I do not support, but if you're going to use it, Democrats have to be guilty for an attempted assassination on Donald Trump.

Now, the Wall Street Journal, pointed out that Biden's rhetoric in recent days has escalated. This is on July 14th, the day of the shooting and they reported, quote: Quote, "'It's time to put Trump in the bullseye', Biden said in a July 8 call with donors to the campaign. The quote was sent around by the Biden campaign to journalists after the call, a sign that the Biden team viewed the comment as part of their message rather than just a gaffe". So they accuse Sarah Palin of being guilty of, responsible for the attack on Gabrielle Giffords because she used a hunting target, a crosshair to identify vulnerable districts. Yet here's Joe Biden, shortly before the attempted assassination of Donald Trump, urging that a bullseye be placed on him. Now, he did an interview today as part of his ongoing campaign to prove that he's not a senile old man suffering from dementia with the NBC anchor Lester Holt. And Holt to his credit, I guess, pressed Biden on that, saying just like Republicans have been accused of being guilty for inciting violence for their rhetoric, what about your rhetoric? And listen to what Biden said. I mean, if you think this helps in any way with the idea that somehow he's proven that he's not demented, I think this video suggests the opposite. But listen to the substance of how he defends himself.

Lester Holt (LH): You called your opponent an existential threat on a call a week ago. You said it's time to put Trump in the bullseye. There's some dispute about the context, but I think you appreciate...

President Biden: That is crosshairs, let focus on... Look, the truth of the matter was that, so I guess I was talking about, at the time, there was very little focus on Trump's agenda.

LH: Yeah, the term bullseye.

President Biden: It was a mistake to use the word. I didn't say crosshairs, I mean bullseye, and then focus on him, focus on what he's doing.

GG: Nobody asked him about the word crosshairs. The reason that's in his brain is because that was the thing used to accuse Sarah Palin of being guilty for inciting the attempted assassination of Gabrielle Giffords. So he's answering a question that no one's asking, but then he kind of admitted, Oh, it was a mistake to say I want to put a bullseye on Trump. So Democrats are so confused about what to say, and this is all going to get more desperate as we start seeing polls and the aftermath of the assassination attempt on Trump, the way in which he reacted; the obvious reality that everyone knows, that Democrats have been running around for a year or more calling him Adolf Hitler and in the most incendiary way accusing him of planning to do the worst things possible to the country. Rhetoric that if you could ever accuse someone of inciting violence would be this kind of rhetoric. And just to give you a little taste of how desperate Democrats are, here are polling numbers that came out today from the YouGov polling that is considered one of the best polling. In fact, it has an A-plus rating in terms of its predictive accuracy over past elections. And these are general election polling numbers in the key swing states. And there, you see, Donald Trump is leading every single swing state, by a fairly significant margin, including states that Joe Biden won in 2020, including Arizona, Georgia, Michigan, North Carolina, Nevada, Pennsylvania and Wisconsin. I believe Biden won every single one of these states in 2020. This is from July 14th. Well, the poll was released today, but the polling was done on July 12th, which was prior to the attempted assassination of Trump. But here you see Trump with a significant lead over Biden in every single one of the swing states, including every one of which Joe Biden won in 2020. So this is why Democrats are so desperate. They obviously can't say what they should be saying if they really believe what they were saying about Trump, which is, Yeah, we don't mind that he was attacked or we don't condone violence, but given that he's basically Adolf Hitler, it's to be expected.

Now, you probably have seen that a lot of people, including some top Democratic strategists and funders, were running around suggesting that the attack was staged. And even the corporate media has had to start acknowledging that Democrats are as deranged and unhinged and drowning in conspiracy theories as the worst elements of QAnon, or whoever they like to blame for that. And that shouldn't be a surprise, given that Democrats accused Donald Trump of clandestinely conspiring with the Kremlin to hack DNC emails in 2016, claimed in 2020 that the reporting based on the Hunter Biden attack was Russian disinformation. Of course, they're drowning in conspiracy theories. It's pretty much all that they do. But it's been so extreme where the leading Democrats such as Reid Hoffman, the billionaire megadonor to the DNC, and his top adviser encouraged journalists to believe that either the shooting was staged and fake, and even he said it might have been likely that Putin was involved, given that this is the sort of thing that the Russians do. This is the level of where they're at. That was reported by Semafor. You can see the article on the screen: *Top Democratic strategist pushed reporters to consider, quote, 'a staged shooting'*. So there's a lot of that going on. But I think, again, the most important point here is the fact that Democrats are actually coming to terms with the fact that Trump is almost certain to win. And the reason they're saying, Look, we have to accept it and we can accept it is because, of course, we don't really believe all the things we've been saying about him over the last year; that he's Hitler, that he's going to end democracy. The whole narrative has been both dangerous but also fraudulent. Just a desperate

attempt to know that they can only try and win the election, not by defending Joe Biden or exciting people about Joe Biden, but scaring people into believing that Trump, who was just the president from 2016 to 2020, is actually a Hitler and fascist.

Here from Axios on July 14th, which was yesterday: *Trump rally shooting upends Democrats' Biden crisis*. Quote, "A senior House Democrat suggested the post shooting atmosphere in the party is, to quote, 'chaotic' for internal battles over leadership. Most lawmakers who spoke to Axios said it is too early to say whether the cessation and tensions will last until the DNC next month. But the second senior House Democrat offered one reason for why it might, quote: 'We've all resigned ourselves to a second Trump presidency'." They're basically saying that we've given up on the attempt to oust Joe Biden. Even though all polls show he's highly likely to lose. And that was before the attempted assassination. And they're starting to say we've resigned ourselves to it. You wouldn't resign yourself to it if you really believe Trump is Adolf Hitler. But of course, Democrats are now admitting that they don't really believe that at all. Here in the Bangor Daily News, congressman Jared Golden, the Democrat from Maine, writing in the Maine newspaper, on July 2nd, he wrote this. The headline there is: Donald Trump is going to win the election and democracy will be just fine. This is after the debate. This is what Congressman Golden said, quote: "Biden's poor performance in the debate was not a surprise. It also didn't rattle me as it has others, because the outcome of this election has been clear to me for months. While I don't plan to vote for him, Donald Trump is going to win. And I'm OK with that". And then he goes on to say that the idea that Trump is sort of a grave threat to democracy is lunacy. That, of course, American democracy is going to be fine with Donald Trump as president, as it was the first time around. And there are a lot of Democrats apparently saying that in Washington. Just most of them are too cowardly to say it in public because they know the Democratic base will turn on them if they admit that Trump's not Hitler.

Here is a tweet from Tim Miller, who used to work for Jeb Bush, was a Republican operative, one of those people who converted into a never Trump fanatic and now is basically a Democratic Party propagandist. He spoke with Ezra Klein, the New York Times columnist who's also a partisan loyalist. And he says: "Here's the galling exchange with Ezra Klein about his conversations with top Democrats who are resigned to Trump". And then here you see some of the things that Ezra Klein is saying. Here he says: "People are weighing this set of things. Like it would be quite unpleasant for me personally to come out against the president... weighing what will happen if Donald Trump wins' and saying, in a revealed preference way, I can live with Donald Trump. And I've had people say that to me off the record". And then Tim Miller says: "Really?" I mean, he's shocked. He lives in that never Trump world, where Donald Trump is Hitler and it's going to destroy American democracy. And he is shocked by the top Democrats who resigned to Hitler being elected come November and then inaugurated in January. And so he says, really? And then Ezra Klein says: "I've had top Democrats say to me basically something like, I don't know why all these Democrats think Donald Trump is an existential threat to democracy and are acting the way they are, but the reason I'm acting the way I am", meaning being resigned to Trump's victory, "is because I don't think that". And then Tim Miller gets very agitated, to put it mildly, very

sad, very confused and he says: "Who the f is that? Out your sources, Ezra... That's crazy. It's maddening". But that's the reality; is that Democrats are finally realising that they can't go around calling Trump Hitler any longer, that he's going to destroy American democracy, especially after this assassination attempt. It wasn't working in the polls. There's no way to get Joe Biden out. And so Democrats are now simply comfortable with the fact that Trump is almost certain to win. All of this lays bare the utterly fraudulent nature of the main narrative Democrats have been trying to push to scare the American population in a not normal way for politics of fear mongering is done, but to believe that they're about to be living under a Nazi dictator, literally. To the point where magazines and leading Democratic officials and pundits are constantly comparing Trump to Hitler. And yet all of this, the fact that they're resigned to losing to him, that they know they can't really do anything to stop it, that they're praying for him and his speedy recovery are all things that show you that other than a few fanatics the vast majority of Democratic leaders, and obviously American voters, do not remotely believe that, because they know that it's a fictitious fairy tale by an increasingly desperate political party eager to stay in power.

Thanks for watching this clip from System Update. Our live show that airs every Monday through Friday at 7 p.m. eastern exclusively on Rumble. You can catch the full nightly shows live or view the backlog of episodes for free on our Rumble page. You can also find full episodes the morning after they air across all major podcasting platforms, including Spotify and Apple. All the information you need is linked below. We hope to see you there.

END

Thank you for reading this transcript. Please don't forget to donate to support our independent and non-profit journalism:

BANKKONTO:	PAYPAL:	PATREON:	BETTERPLACE:
Kontoinhaber: acTVism München e.V.	E-Mail: PayPal@acTVism.org	https://www.patreon.com/acTVism	Link: Click here
Bank: GLS Bank			
IBAN: DE89430609678224073600			
BIC: GENODEM1GLS			

The acTVism Munich e.V. association is a non-profit organization with legal capacity. The association pursues exclusively and directly non-profit and charitable purposes. Donations from Germany are tax-deductible. If you require a donation receipt, please send us an e-mail to: info@acTVism.org